DEFENDANT INFORMATION	N RELATIVE TO	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION	X INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED —	SUPERSEDING	
18 U.S.C. § 1349;	Petty	OAKLAND DIVISION
18 U.S.C. § 371;	Minor	DECEMBANT ILS
18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(5)(A).	Misde	DEI ENDANT G.G
	mean	4
	× Felon	DISTRICT COURT NUMBER
PENALTY: See attached		CR24-00506 AMO
		CR24-00300 AMO
		DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING _		IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (8	& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.  1) X If not detained give date any prior
Federal Bureau of Investiga	ation	summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Feder		2) Is a Fugitive
give name of court		Sep 17 2024
		3) Is on Bail or Release from  Mark B. Busby CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
this person/proceeding is transferred from		NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO
per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. SI	how District	IS IN CUSTODY
		4) \( \sum \) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of		
charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion	SHOW	5) On another conviction Federal State
of:	DOCKET NO.	6) Awaiting trial on other charges
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	<u> </u>	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a		\to Yes \\ \text{If "Yes"}
pending case involving this same defendant	MAGISTRATE	Has detainer Life give date
	CASE NO.	DATE OF Month/Day/Year
prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this	}	ARREST
defendant were recorded under	<u>,                                      </u>	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person	mail J. Ramsey	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
Furnishing Information on this form Is	•	
Name of Assistant U.S.	Carlor C.C. Agonoy	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
Attorney (if assigned) Michelle	J. Kane	—
PROCESS:	ADDITIONAL INF	ORMATION OR COMMENTS —
SUMMONS NO PROCESS*	▼ WARRANT	Bail Amount: No Bail
If Summons, complete following:		<del></del>
Arraignment Initial Appearance	е	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
Defendant Address:		
		Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments:		

# Attachment Penalty Sheet

#### **Statutory Maximum Penalties:**

18 U.S.C. § 1349: Twenty years of imprisonment, \$250,000 fine, three years of supervised release, \$100 special assessment, forfeiture, and restitution.

18 U.S.C. § 371: Five years of imprisonment, \$250,000 fine, three years of supervised release, \$100 special assessment, forfeiture, and restitution.

18 U.S.C. §§ 1030(a)(5)(A), (c)(4)(A)(i)(I), and (c)(4)(B)(i): Ten years of imprisonment, \$250,000 fine, three years of supervised release, \$100 special assessment, forfeiture, and restitution.

## United States District Court

## FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**VENUE: OAKLAND** 

CR24-00506 AMO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

٧.

OLUWATOBI EMMANUEL OTUKELU and EVAN JORDAN EDWARDS

#### **FILED**

Sep 17 2024

Mark B. Busby
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO

#### DEFENDANT(S).

### INDICTMENT

18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud;
18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy;
18 U.S.C. § 1030(a) – Computer Fraud and Abuse;
18 U.S.C. § 2 – Aiding and Abetting;
18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C), 982(A)(2)(B), 1030(i) and (j), and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) –
Forfeiture Allegations

A true bill.
/s/ Foreperson of the Grand Jury
Foreman
Filed in open court this <u>17th</u> day of
September, 2024
S. Ybarra
Clerk
Bail, \$ Arrest Warran
Hon. Alex G. Tse, U.S. Magistrate Judge

**FILED** ISMAIL J. RAMSEY (CABN 189820) 1 **United States Attorney** Sep 17 2024 2 Mark B. Busby 3 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CASE NO. 11 CR24-00506 AMO Plaintiff. 12 **VIOLATIONS:** 18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire 13 v. Fraud: 18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy; 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a) – Computer Fraud and Abuse; 14 OLUWATOBI EMMANUEL OTUKELU, and ) EVAN JORDAN EDWARDS. 18 U.S.C. § 2 – Aiding and Abetting; 15 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C), 982(A)(2)(B), 1030(i) and Defendants. (j), and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c) – Forfeiture Allegations 16 17 OAKLAND VENUE 18 19 20 INDICTMENT 21 The Grand Jury charges: 22 **Introductory Allegations** 23 At all times relevant to this Indictment: 24 1. Beginning on and around January 6, 2022, continuing through the date of this Indictment, Oluwatobi Emmanuel OTUKELU and Evan Jordan EDWARDS conspired with each other and others 25 known and unknown to the grand jury to carry out a scheme to defraud DoorDash, Inc. ("DoorDash") by 26 27 fraudulently obtaining wages of independent contractors who made deliveries for DoorDash, called 28 "Dashers." As part of this scheme, the co-conspirators obtained the personal identifying information

**INDICTMENT** 

("PII") of Dasher victims; falsely impersonated the Dasher victims to DoorDash support; took over Dashers' existing online accounts; created new, unauthorized accounts using Dashers' personal information; directed payments of Dasher wages from DoorDash to accounts controlled by OTUKELU and EDWARDS for the purpose of stealing money; and used Dashers' wages to pay for goods and services. OTUKELU and EDWARDS stole the DoorDash wages of at least 138 individual Dashers, amounting to over \$1 million.

#### Background Regarding DoorDash and its Business

- 2. DoorDash was a technology company that provided an online marketplace and services platform using web-based technology. Merchants used its marketplaces to acquire customers, generate demand, fulfill orders, process payments, and provide customer support. DoorDash also allowed merchants to advertise and promote on its platform. DoorDash operated in over 25 countries, including the United States, and was headquartered in San Francisco, California.
- 3. Automated Clearing House (ACH) was an electronic network used in interstate commerce to process financial transactions between participating depository financial institutions ("banks").
- 4. J.P. Morgan Chase & Co., a Delaware corporation, was a financial services company headquartered in New York, New York. Its subsidiary, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., was an FDIC-insured national bank with its main office in Columbus, Ohio.
  - 5. DoorDash maintained an account at JPMorgan Chase Bank in New York.
- 6. Wells Fargo & Co., a Delaware corporation, was a financial services company headquartered in San Francisco, California. Its subsidiary, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., was an FDIC-insured national bank with its main office in Sioux Falls, South Dakota.
- 7. Stripe, Inc., was a payment processing company with dual headquarters in South San Francisco, California, and Dublin, Ireland.
  - 8. Stripe maintained an account with Wells Fargo Bank for processing DoorDash payments.
- 9. Stride Bank, N.A., was an FDIC-insured national bank with its main office in Enid, Oklahoma.
  - 10. Consumers could find DoorDash businesses, and place and pay for orders through

- DoorDash's mobile consumer apps or websites. The largest component of DoorDash's marketplace business was facilitating restaurant food delivery, but it also offered delivery of other items such as groceries and pet products. In addition to delivery, DoorDash offered consumers the ability to pick up orders in-person from many of the businesses on its marketplace.
- 11. The independent contractors who deliver DoorDash orders to consumers were known as "Dashers" and delivery assignments were known as "Dashes." In 2023, DoorDash had over 7 million active Dasher accounts.
- 12. Dashers used the DoorDash "Dasher App" to manage their Dashes, schedule their Dashing shifts, view their earnings, and make changes to their Dasher account. The Dasher account settings included phone and email contact information, as well as payment instructions. Dasher accounts were protected by a password, which could be reset by requesting that a link be sent to a contact associated with the account.
- 13. DoorDash paid Dashers their earnings on a weekly basis if they had signed up for direct deposit. Stripe processed the direct deposit payroll for DoorDash Dashers. DoorDash transferred funds from its JP Morgan Chase account in New York to Stripe's Wells Fargo Bank account to fund the payroll. Stripe paid Dashers weekly by making ACH transfers as directed by DoorDash from Stripe's Wells Fargo account to the bank accounts designated by Dashers.
- 14. Another payment method DoorDash offered was called DasherDirect, which was managed by a company called Payfare, Inc. DasherDirect was a prepaid Visa debit card issued through Stride Bank. To open a DasherDirect account, Dashers were required to have access to their DoorDash account and provide their full name and Social Security account number. Once the Dasher opened a DasherDirect account, they administered it using a separate DasherDirect app. The Dasher could obtain access to a virtual card before the physical card arrived and use it for online purchases, bill payments, and transfers to other bank accounts. DoorDash deposited earnings to the DasherDirect prepaid debit card after each completed delivery instead of once per week on Monday.
- 15. First Service Credit Union (FSCU) was an FCUA-insured financial institution with its headquarters in Houston, Texas.
  - 16. Green Dot Corporation was a financial technology and registered bank holding company

1	headquartered	Austin, Texas. Its subsidiary, C	Green Dot Bank DBA Bonneville Bank, was an FDIC-
2	insured state-c	tered bank that issued prepaid	debit cards, with its main office in Provo, Utah.
3	17.	Pal, Inc. ("PayPal"), was a di	gital payments company based in San Jose, California.
4	PayPal provides an online service that allows individuals and businesses with a PayPal account to		
5	transfer money from bank accounts, debit cards, and credit cards to other individuals or businesses that		
6	also have PayPal accounts. PayPal also owned Venmo, which provided a similar service.		
7	18.	e Bancorp Bank was an FDIC-	insured national bank with its main office in Sioux
8	Falls, South D	ta.	
9	19.	estbon, Inc., was a company th	at provided personal loans and auto financing.
10	20.	e following individuals worke	d as DoorDash Dashers:
11		J.S. was an individual res	iding in Ohio.
12		S.M. was an individual re	siding in Kentucky.
13		R.C. was an individual re	siding in San Francisco, California.
14		M.G. was an individual re	esiding in New York.
15		C.M. was an individual re	esiding in San Francisco, California.
16		R.M. was an individual re	esiding in Texas.
17		S.G. was an individual re-	siding in El Sobrante, California.
18		M.F. was an individual re	siding in Concord, California.
19		M.H. was an individual re	esiding in Minnesota.
20	21.	e co-conspirators in this schen	ne included the defendants listed below and others:
21		Oluwatobi Emmanuel OT	UKELU, who also used the name "Tobi," was a
22	resider	Houston, Texas.	
23		Evan Jordan EDWARDS	, who also used the name "Jordan Edwards," was a
24	resider	Houston, Texas.	
25		The Scheme a	and Artifice to Defraud
26	22.	ginning on a date unknown, bu	nt no later than January 6, 2022, and continuing through
27	the date of this Indictment, OTUKELU and EDWARDS knowingly devised, intended to devise, and		
28	carried out a scheme and artifice to defraud and to obtain money and property by means of materially		

false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and by omissions and concealment of material facts, which scheme is described further below.

- 23. As part of the scheme to defraud, OTUKELU, EDWARDS, and others known and unknown to the grand jury (collectively, the "co-conspirators") gained control of Dasher accounts by falsely representing that they were specific Dashers to DoorDash personnel in order to cause the DoorDash personnel to change the login information for the victims' Dasher accounts. OTUKELU and EDWARDS:
  - a. obtained victims' PII through various methods, including from other
     co-conspirators, for the purpose of effectuating DoorDash account takeovers;
  - contacted DoorDash's customer service by interstate telephone calls and impersonated Dashers using their PII;
  - c. falsely represented to DoorDash customer service that they were Dasher account owners and had lost access to their accounts; and
  - d. caused DoorDash customer service personnel to change Dasher account login information, including the email address and phone number associated with the targeted Dasher account.
- 24. As a further part of the scheme to defraud, once the login information was changed, the co-conspirators reset the passwords for the Dasher accounts without authorization, giving them exclusive access to the Dasher accounts and locking out the legitimate Dashers. OTUKELU and EDWARDS:
  - used the email address and phone number they had provided to customer service to prompt a password reset;
  - assigned a new password to the targeted Dasher accounts, enabling them to access those accounts without authorization; and
  - c. prevented the victim Dashers from logging into their own accounts.
- 25. As a further part of the scheme to defraud, the co-conspirators changed the payment methods for the Dasher accounts in order to steal money by directing payments from DoorDash to financial accounts controlled by the co-conspirators and to providers of goods and services that

benefited the co-conspirators. OTUKELU and EDWARDS:

- logged into the Dasher accounts using the changed login information and modified the direct deposit information on Dasher accounts;
- caused DoorDash to transfer Dasher wages via ACH to financial accounts the coconspirators, including OTUKELU and EDWARDS, controlled;
- used their unauthorized access to Dasher accounts to access existing DasherDirect accounts without authorization;
- transferred money from existing DasherDirect accounts to accounts under the control of co-conspirators;
- used their unauthorized access to Dasher accounts to open new DasherDirect accounts without the victim Dashers' knowledge;
- caused DoorDash to transfer funds to the unauthorized DasherDirect accounts instead of to the victim Dashers' bank accounts;
- transferred money from unauthorized DasherDirect accounts to accounts under the control of co-conspirators; and
- used the virtual debit card associated with compromised and unauthorized DasherDirect accounts to pay for and attempt to pay for goods and services, including vehicles, airlines, cosmetic procedures, and personal training.
- As a further part of the scheme to defraud, co-conspirators tried to circumvent DoorDash heightened security practices by electronically transmitting their own photographs in response to
- As a further part of the scheme to defraud, the co-conspirators recruited and attempted to recruit DoorDash customer service personnel to join this scheme to help obtain Dashers' PII and to make changes to Dasher accounts.
- As a further part of the scheme to defraud, the co-conspirators created financial accounts for the purpose of receiving and transferring funds stolen from DoorDash via Dasher accounts and
  - As a further part of the scheme to defraud, the co-conspirators concealed their identities

1	and the destination of the stolen funds by creating email accounts in fictitious names or creating email
2	accounts designed to resemble the names of Dasher victims, and using those accounts in connection with
3	financial transactions.
4	COUNT ONE: (18 U.S.C. § 1349 – Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud)
5	30. The factual allegations in paragraphs 1 through 29 of this Indictment are re-alleged and
6	incorporated as if fully set forth here.
7	31. Beginning no later than on or about January 6, 2022, and continuing through on or about
8	the date of this indictment, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendants,
9	OLUWATOBI EMMANUEL OTUKELU and
10	EVAN JORDAN EDWARDS,
11	did knowingly conspire with each other and others known and unknown to the grand jury to devise and
12	intend to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud as to a material matter and to obtain money and
13	property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and by
14	omission and concealment of material facts, and, for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice
15	to defraud and attempting to do so, did knowingly conspire to transmit and cause to be transmitted, by
16	means of wire communication in interstate and foreign commerce, certain writings, signs, signals,
17	pictures, and sounds, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.
18	All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.
19	COUNT TWO: (18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy)
20	32. The factual allegations in paragraphs 1 through 31 of this Indictment are re-alleged and
21	incorporated as if fully set forth here.
22	33. Beginning no later than on and around January 6, 2022, and continuing until the date of
23	this Indictment, in the Northern District of California, and elsewhere, the defendants,
24	OLUWATOBI EMMANUEL OTUKELU and
25	EVAN JORDAN EDWARDS,
26	did knowingly and willfully conspire with others known and unknown to the grand jury to knowingly
27	cause the transmission of a program, information, code, and command, and, as a result of such conduct,
28	intentionally caused damage without authorization to a protected computer, causing loss to a person

during a 1-year period aggregating at least \$5,000 in value, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(5)(A) and (c)(4)(B)(i), all in violation of Title 18, United States Section, Code 371.

#### Manner and Means

34. The manner and means of the conspiracy are set forth in Paragraphs 22 through 29 of this Indictment.

#### Acts in Furtherance of the Conspiracy

- 35. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the objects of the conspiracy, the following overt acts, among others, were committed in the Northern District of California and elsewhere:
  - a. On or about January 6, 2022, OTUKELU called DoorDash support and falsely represented that he was J.S. using her phone number, address, email address, recent DoorDash transactions, and date of birth in order to induce a DoorDash support worker to escalate the call to another DoorDash support worker who was authorized to change the login information associated with the account.
  - b. On or about February 21, 2022, a co-conspirator transferred \$500 from S.M.'s DasherDirect account via ACH to OTUKELU's FSCU bank account ending in 7215.
  - c. On or about February 22, 2022, OTUKELU called DasherDirect support and falsely represented that he was S.M. using her birthdate and recent DoorDash transactions in order to obtain information regarding how the DasherDirect ACH transactions were processed.
  - d. On or about October 9, 2022, OTUKELU called DoorDash support and falsely represented that he was R.C. using his date of birth, the last four numbers of his driver license, bank name, mailing address, zip code, email address, and phone number in order to cause a DoorDash support worker to change the login information associated with the account.
  - e. On or about October 9, 2022, OTUKELU changed the password to R.C.'s Dasher account without authorization, preventing R.C. from accessing the account from San Francisco, California.
  - f. On or about November 14, 2022, OTUKELU again called DoorDash support and falsely represented that he was R.C. using his date of birth and the last four numbers of his driver license in order to cause a DoorDash support worker to change the login information associated

with the account.

- g. On or about November 14, 2022, OTUKELU changed the password to R.C.'s Dasher account without authorization, preventing R.C. from accessing the account from San Francisco, California.
- h. On or about November 14, 2022, a co-conspirator logged into R.C.'s Dasher account without authorization and changed the direct deposit information, causing DoorDash to transfer \$1,218.79 via Stripe to OTUKELU's Green Dot Bank account ending in 6140.
- i. On or about January 13, 2023, OTUKELU called DoorDash support and falsely represented that he was M.G. in order to induce a DoorDash support worker to escalate the call to another DoorDash support worker who was authorized to change the login information associated with the account.
- j. Continuing on or about January 13, 2023, EDWARDS took over the call with DoorDash support and falsely represented that she was M.G. using her birthdate, driver license number, and bank information in order to cause a DoorDash support worker to change the login information associated with the account.
- k. On or about January 13, 2023, a co-conspirator logged into M.G.'s Dasher account and opened a DasherDirect account for M.G., causing DoorDash to transfer \$799.78 via ACH to the unauthorized account.
- On or about January 13, 2023, a co-conspirator transferred \$298.71 from the unauthorized M.G. DasherDirect account to a PayPal account ending in 1180 using OTUKELU's PayPal account ending in 4570.
- m. On or about January 14, 2023, OTUKELU called DoorDash support and falsely represented that he was C.M. in order to induce a DoorDash support worker to escalate the call to another DoorDash support worker who was authorized to change the login information associated with the account.
- n. Continuing on or about January 14, 2023, EDWARDS took over the call with DoorDash support and falsely represented that she was C.M. using her birthdate, bank information, and recent DoorDash transaction in order to cause a DoorDash support worker to

change the login information associated with the account.

- o. On or about January 14, 2022, OTUKELU and EDWARDS changed the password to C.M.'s Dasher account without authorization, preventing C.M. from accessing the account from San Francisco, California.
- p. On or about January 14, 2023, a co-conspirator logged into C.M.'s Dasher account and opened a DasherDirect account for C.M., causing DoorDash to transfer \$919.49 via ACH to the unauthorized account.
- q. On or about January 14, 2023, a co-conspirator transferred \$298.71 from the unauthorized C.M. DasherDirect account to a PayPal account ending in 1180 using OTUKELU's PayPal account ending in 4570.
- r. On or about January 14, 2023, a co-conspirator transferred \$620.78 from the unauthorized C.M. DasherDirect account via ACH to OTUKELU's FSCU bank account ending in 8655.
- s. On or about February 26, 2023, OTUKELU called DoorDash support and falsely represented that he was R.M. using his date of birth, the last four numbers of his driver license, his bank name, and last Dash, in order to cause a DoorDash support worker to change the login information associated with the account.
- t. On or about February 26, 2023, a co-conspirator logged into R.M.'s Dasher account without authorization and changed the direct deposit information, causing DoorDash to transfer \$1,466.81 via Stripe to OTUKELU's Green Dot Bank account ending in 6140.
- u. On or about June 15, 2023, a co-conspirator logged into S.G.'s Dasher account and opened a DasherDirect account for S.G., causing DoorDash to transfer \$826.84 via ACH to the unauthorized account.
- v. On or about June 18, 2023, a co-conspirator attempted to transfer \$628.26 via ACH from the unauthorized S.G. DasherDirect account using EDWARDS' The Bancorp Bank account ending in 7379 to EDWARDS' Venmo account ending in 4270.
- w. On or about July 22, 2023, a co-conspirator logged into M.F.'s Dasher account and opened a DasherDirect account for M.F., causing DoorDash to transfer \$759.88 via ACH to

1	the unauthorized account.		
2	x. On or about July 23, 2023, a co-conspirator made a payment of \$446.73 from the		
3	unauthorized M.F. DasherDirect account to Westbon to pay for EDWARDS' loan on a Mercedes		
4	C300 convertible with a loan number ending in 217.		
5	y. On or about June 10, 2024, OTUKELU attempted to change the banking		
6	information for M.H.'s Dasher account by transmitting photographs of himself in response to a		
7	request for "selfie" verification.		
8	All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.		
9	COUNT THREE: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1030(a)(5)(A), (c)(4)(A)(i)(I), (c)(4)(B)(i) – Transmission of a		
10	Program, Information, Code, and Command to Cause Damage to a Protected		
11	Computer)		
12	36. The factual allegations in paragraphs 1 through 35 of this Indictment are re-alleged and		
13	incorporated as if fully set forth here.		
14	37. On or about October 9, 2022, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the		
15	defendant,		
16	OLUWATOBI EMMANUEL OTUKELU		
17	knowingly caused the transmission of a program, information, code, and command, and, as a result of		
18	such conduct, intentionally caused damage without authorization to a protected computer, to wit, the		
19	defendant changed the password for R.C.'s account on the DoorDash computer system, a computer used		
20	in interstate and foreign commerce and communication, thereby impairing the availability of the Dasher		
21	account belonging to R.C., and, by such conduct, caused loss to one or more persons during a one-year		
22	period aggregating at least \$5,000 in value.		
23	All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1030(a)(5)(A), (c)(4)(A)(i)(I),		
24	(c)(4)(B)(i), and 2.		
25	COUNT FOUR: (18 U.S.C. §§ 1030(a)(5)(A), (c)(4)(A)(i)(I), (c)(4)(B)(i) – Transmission of a		
26	Program, Information, Code, and Command to Cause Damage to a Protected		
27	Computer)		
28	38. The factual allegations in paragraphs 1 through 35 of this Indictment are re-alleged and		

incorporated as if fully set forth here.

 $_{3}$  d

# 39. On or about November 14, 2022, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendant,

4

#### OLUWATOBI EMMANUEL OTUKELU

5

6 | si

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

**COUNT FIVE:** 

1415

16

17

18 19

20

20 21

22

23

24

26

25

27

28

knowingly caused the transmission of a program, information, code, and command, and, as a result of such conduct, intentionally caused damage without authorization to a protected computer, to wit, the defendant changed the password for R.C.'s account to the DoorDash computer system, a computer used in interstate and foreign commerce and communication, thereby impairing the availability of the Dasher account belonging to R.C., and, by such conduct, caused loss to one or more persons during a one-year period aggregating at least \$5,000 in value.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1030(a)(5)(A), (c)(4)(A)(i)(I), (c)(4)(B)(i), and 2.

(18 U.S.C. §§ 1030(a)(5)(A), (c)(4)(A)(i)(I), (c)(4)(B)(i) – Transmission of a Program, Information, Code, and Command to Cause Damage to a Protected Computer)

- 40. The factual allegations in paragraphs 1 through 35 of this Indictment are re-alleged and incorporated as if fully set forth here.
- 41. On or about January 14, 2023, in the Northern District of California and elsewhere, the defendants,

#### OLUWATOBI EMMANUEL OTUKELU and

#### **EVAN EDWARDS**

knowingly caused the transmission of a program, information, code, and command, and, as a result of such conduct, intentionally caused damage without authorization to a protected computer, to wit, the defendants changed the password for C.M.'s account on the DoorDash computer system, a computer used in interstate and foreign commerce and communication, thereby impairing the availability of the Dasher account belonging to C.M., and, by such conduct, caused loss to one or more persons during a one-year period aggregating at least \$5,000 in value.

All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1030(a)(5)(A), (c)(4)(A)(i)(I),

1	(c)(4)(B)(i) and 2.				
2	FORFEITURE ALLEGATIONS: (18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c))				
3	42. The factual allegations contained in this Indictment are re-alleged and incorporated by				
4	reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture.				
5	43. Upon conviction for the offense set forth in Count One of this Indictment, the defendants,				
6	OLUWATOBI EMMANUEL OTUKELU and				
7	EVAN JORDAN EDWARDS,				
8	shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and				
9	Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), all property, real or personal, constituting, or derived				
10	from proceeds the defendant obtained directly and indirectly, as the result of those violations.				
11	44. If any of the property described above, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:				
12	a. cannot be located upon exercise of due diligence;				
13	b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;				
14	c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;				
15	d. has been substantially diminished in value; or				
16	e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without				
17	difficulty,				
18	the United States of America shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property pursuant to Title 21,				
19	United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c).				
20	45. Upon conviction for the offenses set forth in Counts Two through Five in violation of				
21	Title 18, United States Code, Section 1030(a), set forth in this Indictment, the defendants,				
22	OLUWATOBI EMMANUEL OTUKELU and				
23	EVAN JORDAN EDWARDS,				
24	shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982(a)(2)(b) and				
25	1030(i) and (j), any personal property used or intended to be used to commit or to facilitate the				
26	commission of said violation or a conspiracy to violate said provision, and any property, real or				
27	personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the offenses, including, but not				
28	limited to, a sum of money equal to the total amount of proceeds defendant obtained or derived, directly				
	II				

## Case 4:24-cr-00506-AMO Document 1 Filed 09/17/24 Page 17 of 17

1	or indirectly, from the violation, or the value of the property used to commit or to facilitate the				
2	commission of said violation.				
3	46.	If an	of the property described above, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant	t:	
4		a.	cannot be located upon exercise of due diligence;		
5		b.	has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party;		
6		c.	has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court;		
7		d.	has been substantially diminished in value; or		
8		e.	has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without		
9			difficulty,		
10	the United States of America shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property pursuant to Title 21,				
11	United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Section 1030(i)(2).				
12	All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 981(a)(1)(C), 982(a)(2)(B), and 1030,				
13	Title 28, Unit	ed Sta	es Code, Section 2461(c), and Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.2.		
14	DATED:		A TRUE BILL.		
15					
16			<u>/s/ Foreperson</u> FOREPERSON		
17			POREI ERSON		
18	8 ISMAIL J. RAMSEY United States Attorney				
19	/		·		
20	Win				
21	MICHELLE . Assistant Uni	J. KAI ted St	E es Attorney		
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					

28